Brd. Ex 1. 24985

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

OF THE STATY OF CALIFORNIA

In the liatter of the Accusation Against:

NO. D-1241

WILLARD W. LEISKE, M.D.,

OLA 24985

Respondent:

DECISION

The attached Proposed Decision of the Hearing Officer is hereby adopted by the Board of Medical Examiners as its decision in the above-entitled matter.

This decision shall	become effective	on August 13,	1971
TT IS SO ORDERED	July 14,	, 1971.	

BUARD OF MEVICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PAUL J. OUGAN, M.D. Secretary-Treasurer

PJD:bsh

DEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

NO. D-1241

WILLARD W. LEISKE, M.D.,

OLA 24985

Respondent.

PROPOSED DECISION

This matter came or regularly for hearing before P. J. Doyle, Hearing Officer, State of California, Office of Administrative Procedure, on May 5, 1971, and was also heard on June 14, 1971, at Los Angeles, California. Henry Lewin, Deputy Attorney General, represented the Board of Medical Examiners. Respondent was present and was represented by his attorney Fred R. Ryneal. Evidence both oral and documentary having been introduced and the matter submitted, the Hearing Officer finds the following facts:

ī

Wallace W. Thompson, Executive Secretary of the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of California, made the accusation in said official capacity and not otherwise.

IΥ

Respondent Willard W. Leiske, M.D. (hereinafter respondent) was heretofore issued a physician's and surgeon's certificate by the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of California (hereinafter the Board) and at all times herein mentioned respondent was, and now is, licensed to practice medicine and surgery in the State of California.

III

At all times hereinafter mentioned Section 4211 of the Business and Professions Code provided, in part, that "dangerous drug" means any drug unsafe for self-medication and includes barbituric acid derivatives (Seconal, Nembutal and Dexedrine).

Respondent prescribed the following dangerous drugs as hereinafter set forth in detail, without prior examination or medical indication therefor:

	DATE	.IAME	DRUC	QUANTITY	REFILLS
а.	10-10-69	aka K	Dexedrine	36 epansules	10
ъ.	11	tt tr	Seconal	36 capsules	10
c.	12-5-69	aka Kee Persident	Dexedrine	200 spansules	10
d.	11	11 11	Seconal	200 capsules	10
e.	12-15-69	aka Too C	Membutal	50 capsules	6
Ĕ.	1-9-70	and I	Dexedrine	36 spansules	6
g.	1-28-70	aka Ko P	Seconal	50 capsules	. 6
h.	tr	u u	Dexedrine .	100 capsules	6
i.	1-28-70	Mary Thomas, a fictitious person	Seconal	30 capsules	1
j.	3-2-70	nka Ka P	Dexedrine	100 spansules	4
k.	0 .	10 ' 11	Seconal	100 capsules	4
1.	3-2-70	Mary Tomas, a fictitious person	Seconal	100 capsules	4

٧

The following was also proven:

- 1. Respondent was "iginally licensed as a practitioner of osteopathy (U.O.) in California in 1960, prior to his being licensed as a medical physician (M.D.) approximately 1963. Since August of 1961 he has been in general practice in the Riverside area of California.
- 2. He is also licensed to practice osteopathy in the State of Missouri. He has incurred no known prior disciplinary action by the Board.

- 4. Respondent admitted his so prescribing for Mary Thomas, a person whom respondent never sow because she was non-existent, was wrong. As for the amounts, strength, frequency, and purpose of writing his other above-designated prescriptions, his explanations therefor were wholly unsatisfactory.
- 5. Although he recently has acquainted himself with the laws of this State pertaining to the dispensing of dangerous drugs, he continues to fail to grasp the severity and the gravity of his grossly-indiscriminate actions in so prescribing.
- No afrirmative defense, including the defense of entrapment, was established.

* * * * * * *

Pursuant to the foregoing findings of fact, the Hearing Officer makes the following determination of issues:

I

Sections 2360 and 23vl of the Business and Professions Code empower the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of California to take disciplinary action against the holder of a physician's and surgeon's certificate who is guilty or unprofessional conduct.

II

Respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct as defined in Section 2399.5 of the Business and Professions Code.

* * * * * *

WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:

The physician's and surgeon's certificate, previously issued by the Board of Medical Examiner of the State of California, to Willard W. Leiske, M.D., to practice medicine and surgary in the State of California, is herew. Trevoked.

I hereby submit the foregoing which constitutes my Proposed Decision in the above-entitled matter, as a result of the hearings had before me on the above dates, at Los Angelas, California, and recommend its adoption as the decision of the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of California.

P. J. DOYLE, Mearing Officer

DATED: June 17, 1971

PJD:bsh

1	THOMAS C. LYNCK, Attorney General
2	Deputy Attorney General
3	600 State Building Los Angeles, California 90012
4	Telephone 620-3143
5	Attorneys for Complainant
6 .	
7	
8	DEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EVANINERS
9	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	IN THE MATTER OF THE ACC' SATION)
12	AGAINST: 10. D-1241
13	WILLARD W. LEISKE, M.D., ACCUSATION
14	Respondent.
15	
1.5	COMES NOW WALLACE W. THOMPSON, the complainant herein
17	and elleges as follows:
18.	1. That at the time of executing and filing the with-
19	in pleading, the complainant herein was and now is the Executive
20	Secretary of the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of
21	California, and that he performed said acts in his official
22	capacity and not otherwise.
23	2. That respondent Willard W. Leiske, M.D. (hereinafte
24	respondent) was heretofore issued a physician's and surgeon's
25	certificate by the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of
16	California (hereisafter the board) and at all times herein
7.	mentioned respondent was, and now is, licensed to practice medici
18	and surgery in the State of California.
.9 j	3. That at all times hereinarter mentioned, sections
0	2360 and 2361 of the Business and Professions Code authorized the

51

board to take action against the holder of a physician's and

surgeon's certificate who is guilty of unprofessional conduct.

б

) H

fessional conduct has been defined in section 2399.5 of the Business and Professions Code as the prescribing of dangerous drugs as defined in section 4211 of the Business and Professions Code without either a prior examination of the patient or medical imiteation therefor.

5. That at all times hereinafter mentioned section 4211 of the Business and Professions Code provided, in part, that "dangerous drug" means any drug unsafe for self-medication and includes barbituric acid derivatives (Seconal and Dexedrine).

6. That respondent has been guilty of unprofessional conduct as defined in said section 2399.5 of the Business and Professions Code in that respondent prescribed the following dangerous drugs as defined in said section 4211 of the Business and Professions Code without either a prior examination of the following named patients or medical indication therefore

			DATE	<u> </u>	DRUG	<u>Q</u> 1	YTITY	<u>refli</u>	,LS
		a.	10-10-69	K B	Dexedrine	36	apansides	10	
i		b.	11	aka K	Seconal	36	capsulos	10	
0	•	c.	12-5-69	K B	Dexedrine	S(i0	absuanjea	10	
		d.	**	aka K P	acona1	200	capsules	10	
		e.	12-15-69	K C	Nembutal	50	capsulos	6	
il		ſ.	1-9-70	aka T C	Dexedrine	36	apanaulea	10	6
1		2 .	1-28-70	K B	Seconal	60	capsuins	E	
		h.		aka K P	Dexedrino	100	spansules	6	
		1.	1-28-70	Mary Thomas	Seconal	30	capsules	1	
		j.	3-2-70	K. B.	Dexedrine	100	spansulos	· Le	
		k.	11 .	aka K	Seconal	100	capsules	ų	
		1.	3-2-90	Mary Thomas	Seconal	100	capsules	1+	
				,					

WHEREFORE, the complainant prays that the board of Medical Examiners hold a hearing on the matters alleged herein and following said hearing take such disciplinary action as is provided in section 2372 of the Business and Professions Code, and take such other and further action as may be proper. DATED: This 5th day of Miles 1970 WALLACE W. TRIMPSOI, Executive Secretary Board of Medical Examiners of the State of California, Complainant

hl/rh ADM LA 31 70-972

3.